Mr. Stacey R. Smith
855 Kalamazoo Ave SE
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49507. ***Participationem Aenon Mises***

Plaintiff In Re; Ad Honorum.

IN RE CONTEMPT: MR. CHRIS R. BECKER. IN: (PRO SE AD HONORUM).
USCCOA: 21-2775 MCR 6.50 et seq.

VS. } ***BREACH OF THE 17TH CIRCUIT PLEA AGREEMENT*** – (NON-PUBLIC REGISTRATION).

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF PURSUANT TO 28 USC 1367, 1361, 1631.
JURISDICTIONAL SANCTION: MCR 6.201 (J) & MCR 2.209.

State of Michigan and; County of Kent.
Defendants in Case: 22-02505-AS.
And Defense Counsel: ***Varnum Reddering Schmidt & Howlett***. Always Known as: VARNUM.

VARNUM L.L.P.
Attention: Attorneys for Chris Becker, Kevin Bramble, and Linda Howell.
Bridgewater Place,
P.O. Box 352.
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501-0352.
616-336-6000.

Kyle P. Konwinski P-76257.
Chloe N. Cunningham P-83904.

The United States Department of Justice.
Eastern Division of Michigan.
211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001.
Detroit, Michigan 48226.
313-226-9617.
313-226-3271.
Zak.toomey@usdoj.gov.

Outside of knowing that this is the #1 Law Firm in not only Grand Rapids, but also this entire region, I am taken yet not surprise how the most prestigious Law firm, to who I still recognize, would brief your defense along the same lines as everyone else while I in short clearly stipulated most of all this breach in the 17TH Judicial Circuit Plea Agreement and how you along

with everyone else still, refuse to utter it on the pleadings and responses and as for you to say that I stated no jurisdiction? How could you state that when it's practically a road map if anyone takes the time to read to which your firm must not have as well.

And for you to insult me along with The Honorable Phillip Green, I easily detect your attacks. The reason you say that I have not used complete sentences, is a protective shield on your behalf of the profanity that all of you may deserve. So look at it that why my good man.

As I resist in watching current events of my caliber and character to whom all of you are in good practice with, lets me know that just focusing on this and trying to get anyone to see what is obvious would have to agree that I am under a Breach of Contract and because I am not a professional counselor to which everyone feels I should be able to afford after my life has been destroyed? This clearly shows me that I am looking at the delusion in others and clearly on purpose as you are similarly situated.

To start with your intentional mis-understanding of the Change of Venue:

The change of venue is a request from U.S. District Court, The honorable Paul Lewis Maloney Case No.: 1:16-CV-1381; where he adopted in part, that I stated a claim to where his Court has subject-matter jurisdiction – Predominated jurisdiction pursuant to 28 USC 1367 for Amendment V. The separation of powers dis-allows Federal judges to supervise State judges; therefore the necessity to transfer that jurisdiction to the State in the only form of Superintending Control; MCR 3.302 for Breach of the 17TH Judicial Circuit Plea Agreement for NON-PUBLIC S.O.R.A. REGISTRATION.

IN RE CONTEMPT CHRIS R. BECKER MCR 3.306 for failure to comply with the agreement on the 17TH Judicial Court record, which had been agreed upon with all parties.

CHANGE OF VENUE.

With the inability to resist from responding to your insults; by the way unexpected from the most prestigious law firm, however not surprised that the firm would not acknowledge the very evidence of their client's court records. The 17Th Judicial Circuit Court record clearly indicates an agreement between the Honorable George S. Buth P-11479 at a session on July 22, 2015 for (NON-PUBLIC S.O.R.A. REGISTRATION), to which your clients purposely failed to provide the Plaintiff, In Re, and which is why and the reason for the multi-track litigation because of everyone's insistence of ignoring what is obvious, pertinent and true. In conjunction with its alignment with jurisdiction.

The Honorable Paul Lewis Maloney P-25144 clearly indicated that I state a **(CLAIM) to which his court has subject-matter jurisdiction.** 28 U.S.C. 1367 indicates **Predominated** Jurisdiction in any case in the nature of MANDAMUS in accordance to U.S.C.C.O.A.: 21-2775: Transferring this jurisdiction back to the State of Michigan. CLAIM: AMENDMENT (V).

The fact also is apparent that this firm HAS NOT read any of the briefs primarily the BRIEF FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS.

Reiterating that the exercising of MCR 3.302 was to be exerted on 62 A District Court. The Sixth Circuit Court also instructed me and indicated that ***I may launch my collateral attacks on my*** *state court convictions*: see **U.S.C.C.O.A.: CASE. NO.: 20-1716.**

The basis of the complaint relies on the pleadings that has already been file to which I am sure you have not considered as the body of the complaint refers to the actions of you party on July 22, 2015 with regards to prosecutions failure to provide discovery when requested by defense counsel at that time marking the case for a dismissal in District Court pursuant to MCR 6.108; however realizing that my defense counsel was assisting prosecution upon cue when he stated that I will have to register as a sex offender before the case even started to which I mention in the filings to which you have not read or considered. Case No.: 17-1022 U.S.C.C.O.A.

Defense Counsel is aware that this cause of action is regarding a BREACH IN THE 17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT plea agreement and as proper counsel has not or will not bring up or mention this breach of contract which is the 17TH Judicial Circuit Court Transcript (as evidence) clearly proves that everyone is ignoring this infringement.

Please refer to the MSC CASE NO.: 161058 with regard to my attempt to bring this matter to the courts continuous attention while purposely being ignored of the pertinent fact that I litigated a (nonsensical) pleading as you put it while knowing I am not a professional counselor and fending for myself knowing that I could not afford counsel for this matter while being unemployed for over five years because of this BREACH OF THE 17TH CIRCUIT SENTENCING PLEA AGREEMENT.

SUBSEQUENT CHANGE.

The clean slate program has been enacted as to the reason for subsequent Motion for relief of Judgment which would be the change in law.

Plaintiff, In Re, has provided the 17TH Judicial Circuit Court Proof of Service by personal delivery and/or U.S. Mail to The Honorable Bridget Mc Cormack.

Participationem Aenon Mises.